

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

ELECTRONIC

02/12/2009

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,942	10/01/2004	Mi-Sun Sung	MUHAN1.001APC	7555
	7590 02/12/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ZIEGLE, STEPHANIE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,			3692	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/508,942
 SUNG, MI-SUN

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 STEPHANIE ZIEGLE
 3692

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):
(1) <u>STEPHANIE ZIEGLE</u> .	(3) <u>Donald Min (Reg # 47,796)</u> .
(2) <u>Jennifer Liversedge</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>18, 32 and 37</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: Karas et al (US 2002	<u>/0138363)</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\hfill \square$ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
the prior art of Karss Proposed changes to the claims we record. The 101 and 112 rejections were also discussed. office action, and respond accordingly.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE!	.32. and 37 were discussed on how they are different then re also discussed about how to overcome the prior art of Examiner will review the attorney's official response to the individual response to the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims ed.)  ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE he last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS RONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
/Stephanie Ziegle/	/Kambiz AbdV
Examiner, Art Unit 3692	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3692